UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,549	05/13/2004	Tien-Hui Pan	ACMP0195USA	3548
	7590 09/26/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	8 L PROPERTY CORPORATION	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			YOUSEFI, SHAHROUZ	
MEKKIFIELD,	VA 22110	ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,549	PAN, TIEN-HUI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHAHROUZ YOUSEFI	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	- <b>-</b>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed the mailing date of this communion (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the meri	ts is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 May 2004</u> is/are∶ a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			3			
		d III tilis National Otage	,			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Coo the attached actained office action for a field	st the continion deploy het receive	<b>u</b> .				
Attackers and a						
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2132

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Best (US 4,278,837).
- 3. With respect to claim 1, Best discloses a method of preventing firmware from being pirated (*A microprocessor for executing computer programs which are stored in cipher to prevent software piracy, abstract*), the firmware containing executable code for an electronic device, the method comprising: ciphering executable firmware code into ciphered firmware code (*enciphering each program in a different cipher, col. 2, lines 44-45*); storing the ciphered firmware code in a nonvolatile memory of the electronic device (*storing the enciphered program into memory 12, col. 14, line 17*); storing a decipher key in a decrypting circuit of the electronic device (*cipher key or tables for deciphering the program, so that a program that can be executed, abstract*); deciphering the ciphered firmware code with the decrypting circuit of the electronic device to decrypt the executable firmware code (*deciphering circuit, element 4, fig. 6*); storing the executable firmware code in a volatile memory of the electronic device (*storing said program in a memory, col. 31, line 28*); and executing the executable firmware code stored in the

Art Unit: 2132

volatile memory for operating the electronic device (a microprocessor for executing computer programs which are stored in cipher, abstract).

- 4. With respect to claim 2, Best discloses software installed on a host computer ciphers the executable firmware code into the ciphered firmware code (In this system programs are stored in a conventional host computer in encrypted form, col. 2, lines 9-11).
- 5. With respect to claim 3, Best discloses the software installed on the host computer transmits the ciphered firmware code to a firmware refresh circuit of the electronic device and the firmware refresh circuit stores the ciphered firmware code in the nonvolatile memory of the electronic device (A typical CMP is schematically shown as box 16 in FIG. 3. CMP 16 executes the enciphered program in memory 12 by addressing program portions, col. 4, lines 46-49).
- 6. With respect to claim 4, Best discloses the software installed on the host computer transmits the ciphered firmware code to a firmware burner, the firmware burner is connected to the electronic device, and the firmware burner stores the ciphered firmware code in the nonvolatile memory of the electronic device (Plain unenciphered data may be read and written in the conventional manner via buses 14 and 61 and buffer 60...Ram 75 or output on bus 14, col. 9, lines 24-29).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2132

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Best (US 4,278,837) in view of Satkunanathan et al. (US 2005/0114265) hereinafter Satkunanathan.
- 9. With respect to claim 5, Best doesn't teach the nonvolatile memory is a flash memory. However, Satkunanathan discloses a multitude of storage mediums including but not limited to a magnetic storage devices (e.g., computer hard drive, floppy disk), optical storage devices (e.g., CD-RW disk), and flash memory devices (e.g., memory stick, memory card), par. [0050]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Best with flash memory of Satkunanathan to include and support more variety of storage medium.
- 10. With respect to claim 6, Satkunanathan teaches that nonvolatile memory is dynamic random access memory (DRAM) (dynamic RAM (DRAM), par. [0091]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Best with Satkunanathan to includes wide variety of system memory.
- 11. With respect to claim 7, Satkunanathan teaches that the electronic device is an optical disk drive, (an optical disk drive, par. [0092]). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Best with Satkunanathan to include and support more variety of storage medium.
- 12. With respect to claim 8, Satkunanathan teaches that the electronic device is a hard drive (hard drive, par. [0058]). It would have been obvious at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2132

was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Best with Satkunanathan

to include and support more variety of storage medium.

13. With respect to claim 9, Satkunanathan teaches that the electronic device is a

computer and the executable firmware code is code in a basic input output system

(BIOS) of the computer (the basic input/output system (BIOS), par. [0091]). It would

have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill

in the art to modify Best with Satkunanathan to identify and initialize firmware when the

computer powered on.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SHAHROUZ YOUSEFI whose telephone number is

(571) 270-3558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

/S. Y./

Examiner, Art Unit 2132

/Gilberto Barron Jr/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2132